

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/928,677	EPSTEIN, MICHAEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tamara Teslovich	2137	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Tamara Teslovich.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Edward Goodman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2006

Time: 1:15pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Goodman confirmed that no reasons to the Examiner's previous office action had been or would be filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)